

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/509,051	Applicant(s) MICULKA ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon Y. Lum	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

(3) _____.

(2) Diane Wong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006

Time: 12:50 pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative indicated in a voicemail message that a response has not been filed in reply to the Office Action mailed 27 June 2005.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)